





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,736	12/11/2001	William E. Foltz	53934US010	7050
	590 10/06/2003		EXAM	INER
Office of Intel	llectual Property Counse Properties Company	el	GITOMER,	RALPH J
PO Box 33427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Paul, MN	55133-3427		1651	7
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 10/014,736 Applicant(s)

Folz et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Ralph Gitomer

Art Unit 1651

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 11, 2001 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-88
H NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 11, 2001 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claims 1-88 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 11, 2001</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claims <u>1-88</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ☐ Is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claims 1-88 ☐ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claims 1-88 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ▼ Claims 1-88 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claims 1-88 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)
4a) Of the above, claim(s)
5) ☐ Claim(s)
6) ☐ Claim(s)
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims 1-88 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
8) \(\times \) Claims \(\frac{1-88}{2} \) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. \(\text{Application Papers} \) \(\text{D} \) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. \(\text{Application Papers} \)
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
approved by disapproved by the Examine
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
15)⊠ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTC-413) Paper No(s)
The state of the s
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (FTO-132) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

-2-

Serial No. 10/014,736 Art Unit 1651

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, 43-56, drawn to a sterilization indicator with biological and chemical components, classified in class 435, subclass 287.4.
- II. Claims 16-27, drawn to an indicator with a container, classified in class 435, subclass 287.6.
- III. Claims 28-42, 86, 88 drawn to a method for testing sterilization, classified in class 435, subclass 31.
- IV. Claims 71-98, 57-84 drawn to a lumen challenge test pack with a tray, classified in class 435, subclass 288.5.
- V. Claims 85, 87 drawn to a method for stabilizing enzymes, classified in class 435, subclass 188.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are

unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as

capable of use together and they have different modes of

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §

806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions are separate and distinct inventions because one would

stabilize enzymes for functions other than testing sterilization.

5

10

15

20

5

10

15

20

25

Inventions (III, V) and (I, II, IV) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be practiced with different indicators than those claimed.

Inventions I, II, IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have distinct apparatus limitations and functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5

10

15

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) . 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. For 24 hour access to patent application information 7 days per week, or for filing applications electronically, please visit our website at www.uspto.gov and click on the button &Patent Electronic Business Center for more information.

20

Ractorna

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Group 1651

> RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

25